

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/544,211	NESPER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	YEVEGENY VALENROD	1621	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) YEVEGENY VALENROD. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Sherian Neimark. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 March 2010

**Time:** 10am

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*11*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An agreement was reached with regard to a proposed examiners amendment that placed the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Yevgeny Valenrod/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1621

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)